



REEF

**RIDLEY ISLAND
ENERGY EXPORT FACILITY**



**Community Services and Infrastructure
Committee (CSIC)**

**2025 Socio-Economic Engagement
Summary Report - Record of Engagement**



AltaGas

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Ridley Island Energy Export Facility (REEF; the Project) will include the construction and operation of a bulk liquids storage facility, including a new jetty at Ridley Island, near Prince Rupert, British Columbia (BC), Canada. The Project is located within the territories of the Gitga'at First Nation, Gitxaala Nation, Kitselas First Nation, Kitsumkalum First Nation, Lax Kw'alaams Band, and Metlakatla First Nation.

The scope of the Committee focuses on local communities in the vicinity of the Project with the following groups represented on the Committee:

- Representatives from Gitga'at First Nation; Gitxaala Nation; Kitselas First Nation; Kitsumkalum First Nation; Lax Kw'alaams Band; and Metlakatla First Nation.
- City of Prince Rupert
- District of Port Edward
- City of Terrace
- Prince Rupert Port Authority
- Prince Rupert Chamber of Commerce
- Northern Health
- First Nations Health Authority
- Project REEF / AltaGas
- An independent facilitator for the Committee

Project REEF is committed to managing the socio-economic effects associated with the construction of the Project, and potential associated impacts on the local community.

RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT

The Project issued a draft of the 2025 Community Services and Infrastructure Committee Socio-Economic Engagement Summary Report to the Committee on February 12, 2026, requesting feedback by March 30, 2026. Below is the Record of Engagement on the 2025 report.

Date	Committee Member Organization	Comments	REEF Response
February 12, 2026	Project REEF	The draft report was sent to Committee members, requesting feedback by March 30, 2026.	N/A
March 19, 2026	Gitxaala Territorial Management Agency (GTMA)	GTMA submitted feedback on the draft report that included: 1. Request to add more information on the health care services mitigation measures and its effectiveness. 2. GTMA noted that they did not agree with a	1. REEF responded and updated the final report to include more information on the health care services mitigation measures, specifically additional medical services that were deployed on the project in 2025. 2. REEF responded to acknowledge the feedback

		statement in the draft report on health care services that stated “REEF is an effective model for other construction projects to duplicate.”.	and removed the statement from the final draft. REEF provided an update that Northern Health shared positive feedback with REEF on the health care services mitigation measures that have been implemented on the Project, and that Northern Health’s feedback would be captured in the final draft of the report. REEF requested additional feedback from GTMA, asking if the Nation is experiencing any negative impacts to health care they believe are resulting from the REEF Project. GTMA responded that it is not their department’s mandate to collect health care data.
March 20, 2026	Project REEF	A follow up was sent to Committee members, requesting feedback on the draft 2025 report by March 30, 2026.	N/A
March 24, 2026	Northern Health	Northern Health submitted feedback on the draft report and suggested to include metrics on the proportion of referrals of REEF personnel to local hospitals, relative to the total number of Project onsite medical consultations.	The feedback from Northern Health was incorporated into the final report.
March 25, 2026	Kitselas First Nation	Kitselas First Nation submitted feedback on the report, suggesting to update language on the health care services section by changing “mitigating” impacts to “reducing potential” impacts.	The feedback from Kitselas First Nation was incorporated into the final report.
March 30, 2026	BC EAO	REEF submitted the CSIC 2025 Socio-Economic Engagement Summary Report to the BC EAO.	N/A
April 1, 2026	Northern Health	Northern Health submitted additional feedback on the report that included recommendations to % of work completed on the Project to-date and incorporating	REEF responded to advise that the deadline for feedback had passed, and that feedback would be considered for the 2026 report.

		visual charts to demonstrate changes in key numeric indicators.	
April 23, 2026	REEF	REEF issued the final draft of the 2025 CSIC Socio-Economic Engagement Summary Report to Committee members.	N/A
April 29, 2026	Metlakatla Stewardship Society (MSS)	MSS submitted feedback on the draft report that included: 1. Requesting clarification if the Committee is providing input that would help remediate effects. 2. A comment to add a general statement that REEF is one of many projects taking place on Ridely Island at this time. 3. Request for information on what the Project is doing to address use of local health care services by Project Personnel. 4. Clarification if the Committee considered an assessment of emergency services response time, and impacts to Indigenous communities relying on the same emergency services. 5. Feedback that bussing and carpooling of Project personnel is appreciated as it reduces the cumulative impacts among all projects in the area. 6. Feedback that there appears to be minimal violations of Code of Conduct of Project Personnel, and request for clarification on how data for Code of conduct Violations is collected.	1. REEF indicated that the Project believes that we are effectively managing most of the socio-economic effects on the Project, as nine out of ten indicators are not exceeding the set thresholds. REEF asked MSS to share additional feedback on remediation of effects. No further feedback was received. 2. REEF responded that the deadline to provide feedback on the 2025 report had passed, and that this feedback would be considered for the 2026 report. 3. REEF responded that the Project increased onsite medic services in 2025, adding two (2) additional medic services. 4. REEF responded that at the time the project effects were established, the Committee did not discuss assessing emergency response times as an indicator. REEF requested feedback if the Nation is experiencing impacts to these services. No further feedback was received in this regard. 5. REEF acknowledged the feedback. 6. REEF acknowledged the feedback and provided information on how the Project collects data.